



Tim Storrie studied at Oxford before being called to the Bar in 1993. He was the Jameson scholar of the Middle Temple.

Tim Storrie's practice encompasses criminal work, regulatory work and judicial review. He also has experience providing public law advice and representation to a range of clients who seek help to solve problems that arise in regulatory and criminal proceedings. He has a particular interest in the regulatory regime of the police service.

His practice is hallmarked by a willingness to become involved in cases at the earliest possible opportunity.

He is regarded as a strong and capable advocate across all fields of serious crime. He is a category 4 prosecutor. His recent caseload involves his participation in the prosecution and defence of cases of significant gravity. These have included cases of murder, fraud, multi-handed drugs conspiracies and organised violence.

His instructions reflect his ability to provide advice and leadership in cases requiring the mastery of complex factual and legal issues. Most recently he has acted for a professional man accused of being at the heart of a right wing conspiracy to make and use bombs against Islamic targets; a tycoon facing conviction and confiscation proceedings arising out of a complex benefit fraud; he has defended in cases of fraud prosecuted by the DBERR; he prosecuted multi-handed cases of organised football violence, and defended in a case of satanic ritual abuse.

Tim Storrie has recognised clients' needs in finding public law solutions to problems that flowed from involvement with criminal law procedures. Consequently he has had a diverse number of clients in Judicial Review proceedings. He acts for IPCC in defending claims of procedural injustice. In addition he has been involved in proceedings against the Secretary of State for Justice in prisoner recall cases and challenges against Magistrates' Court decisions. He has recently overturned a Recovery of Defence Costs Order valued over a quarter of a million pounds in proceedings before the Administrative Court. He has also provided advice to those seeking to challenge decisions of the prosecuting authorities and the Director of the Serious Fraud Office. He has lectured other legal professionals on topics concerning Judicial Review for the criminal lawyer.

He has also represented police officers from the GMP, North Wales and Cheshire constabularies in disciplinary proceedings before Disciplinary Panels, Chief Constables' Reviews and before the Police Appeals Tribunal. His work has included involvement in serious corruption proceedings overseen by the IPCC and representation of officers before a coroner's inquest into a death in custody.

NOTABLE CASES

R v Farrar [2006] EWCA Crim. 3261; [2007] 2 Cr.App.R.(S.) 35 (p.202); [2007] Crim.L.R. 308; All ER (D.) 204 (Dec.): first authority on interpretation of s.229 CJA 2003; assessment of dangerousness.

R (on the application of G) v Burnley Magistrates' Court [2007] All ER (D.) 196 (Apr.): decision quashing an ultra vires order of Magistrates on committal.

R (oao Herd) v IPCC [2009] EHC 3134 (Admin) Scope of the Commission's responsibilities towards complaints.

R (oao Saltax & or) v Salford City Council [2009] EWHC 3788 (Admin) Court rejected challenge to LA's policy of delimiting hackney carriage licenses.

LM & ors v R [2010] EWCA Crim 2327; [2010] All ER (D) 202 (Oct); [2010] WLR (D) 266. Scope of prosecutorial obligations arising out of Council of Europe Convention on Action Against Trafficking in Human Beings

R v (oao Layland) v East Lancashire Magistrates' Court [2010] EWHC 2115: failure by the Justices properly to exercise their discretion in refusing to rescind a sizeable compensation order.

EDUCATION / PROFESSIONAL

Tim Storrie is a member of the Criminal Bar Association and the Administrative Law Bar Association. He is the editor of Cassel's English Usage (London 1991) (Zanichelli Rome 1992)